

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TYRECE JEFFERSON,

Plaintiff,

v.

K. GUILLEN, *et al.*,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:24-cv-00298-JDP (PC)

ORDER TRANSFERRING THIS ACTION TO  
THE UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff has filed an application to proceed *in forma pauperis* pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

The federal venue statute provides that a civil action “may be brought in (1) a judicial district in which any defendant resides, if all defendants are residents of the State in which the district is located, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of property that is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) if there is no district in which an action may otherwise be brought as provided in this action, any judicial district in which any defendant is subject to the court’s personal jurisdiction with respect to such action.” 28 U.S.C. § 1331(b).

In this case, the claims arose in San Luis Obispo County, which is in the Central District of California. 28 U.S.C. 84. Therefore, plaintiff’s claim should have been filed in the United

1 States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal  
2 court may transfer a complaint filed in the wrong district to the correct district. *See* 28 U.S.C.  
3 § 1406(a); *Starnes v. McGuire*, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974).

4 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United  
5 States District Court for the Central District of California.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.  
7

8 Dated: February 5, 2024

  
9 JEREMY D. PETERSON  
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28